

# RentFun Audit Report

Mon Dec 04 2023



contact@scalebit.xyz



[https://twitter.com/scalebit\\_](https://twitter.com/scalebit_)



**ScaleBit**



# RentFun Audit Report

---

## 1 Executive Summary

### 1.1 Project Information

Description	First NFT Rental Protocol for arbitrum Games.
Type	Lending
Auditors	ScaleBit
Timeline	Wed Nov 15 2023 - Mon Dec 04 2023
Languages	Solidity
Platform	Arbitrum
Methods	Architecture Review, Unit Testing, Manual Review
Source Code	<a href="https://github.com/RentFun/summer">https://github.com/RentFun/summer</a>
Commits	<a href="#">ba4ff43a7827562ac9d82da7384fcd87596550f4</a> <a href="#">21f24c9ea1e3718c0823cc23171952d9e3a634d7</a> <a href="#">47c0ad141870fd55504ac8e3c7f31125f01814bd</a>

## 1.2 Files in Scope

The following are the SHA1 hashes of the original reviewed files.

ID	File	SHA-1 Hash
RFU	contracts/RentFun/RentFun.sol	ebe76595391f77afee48c4bd64eae183300fc775
IRF	contracts/RentFun/interfaces/IRentFun.sol	b9cfbf4e3722be9e413b5a6ac63a61bf35cee681
IVA	contracts/RentFun/interfaces/IVault.sol	1ccf3c31de5b5a41350198346bedcd851b707455
IVM	contracts/RentFun/interfaces/IVaultManager.sol	e5fef1de3449a244e68ad54373754974c367ba71
RFH	contracts/RentFun/RentFunHelper.sol	d5b1c6720c7ea0b1e350e5304bc73b37b580a5fb
VMA	contracts/RentFun/VaultManager.sol	011d9a0a4b45f69f610972567dd461545b88ef64
VAU	contracts/RentFun/Vault.sol	410cae0a799b84afe2a43a4197d79da897e26ec6
NFT	contracts/Token/NFToken.sol	0777951e9a406c3b71812fcef674fa06448a7601
WBI	contracts/Token/WonderBird.sol	af73a42aac23a38ac2f966d7848c5439266b7c76
RTO	contracts/Token/RentToken.sol	a1707643567a98eca7a85dcd3f6ab739e7eec294

## 1.3 Issue Statistic

Item	Count	Fixed	Acknowledged
Total	9	4	5
Informational	0	0	0
Minor	2	2	0
Medium	4	0	4
Major	3	2	1
Critical	0	0	0

## 1.4 ScaleBit Audit Breakdown

ScaleBit aims to assess repositories for security-related issues, code quality, and compliance with specifications and best practices. Possible issues our team looked for included (but are not limited to):

- Transaction-ordering dependence
- Timestamp dependence
- Integer overflow/underflow
- Number of rounding errors
- Unchecked External Call
- Unchecked CALL Return Values
- Functionality Checks
- Reentrancy
- Denial of service / logical oversights
- Access control
- Centralization of power
- Business logic issues
- Gas usage
- Fallback function usage
- tx.origin authentication
- Replay attacks
- Coding style issues

## 1.5 Methodology

The security team adopted the "**Testing and Automated Analysis**", "**Code Review**" and "**Formal Verification**" strategy to perform a complete security test on the code in a way that is closest to the real attack. The main entrance and scope of security testing are stated in the conventions in the "Audit Objective", which can expand to contexts beyond the scope according to the actual testing needs. The main types of this security audit include:

### (1) Testing and Automated Analysis

Items to check: state consistency / failure rollback / unit testing / value overflows / parameter verification / unhandled errors / boundary checking / coding specifications.

### (2) Code Review

The code scope is illustrated in section 1.2.

### (3) Audit Process

- Carry out relevant security tests on the testnet or the mainnet;
- If there are any questions during the audit process, communicate with the code owner in time. The code owners should actively cooperate (this might include providing the latest stable source code, relevant deployment scripts or methods, transaction signature scripts, exchange docking schemes, etc.);
- The necessary information during the audit process will be well documented for both the audit team and the code owner in a timely manner.

## 2 Summary

This report has been commissioned by **RentFun** to identify any potential issues and vulnerabilities in the source code of the **RentFun** smart contract, as well as any contract dependencies that were not part of an officially recognized library. In this audit, we have utilized various techniques, including manual code review and static analysis, to identify potential vulnerabilities and security issues.

During the audit, we identified 9 issues of varying severity, listed below.

ID	Title	Severity	Status
RFH-1	Use <code>abi.encode</code> instead of <code>abi.encodePacked</code>	Minor	Fixed
RFU-1	<code>rent</code> Function Can Be Front-run	Major	Fixed
RFU-2	<code>lend</code> Function Design Issues	Major	Acknowledged
RFU-3	Token Can Be Rented Multiple Times	Major	Fixed
RFU-4	Missing Check On <code>collection</code> address	Medium	Acknowledged
RFU-5	<code>RentFun</code> Contract May Have <code>ptnFee</code> Remaining	Medium	Acknowledged
RFU-6	<code>Initialize</code> Could Be Front-Run	Medium	Acknowledged
VMA-1	Initialize Issue	Medium	Acknowledged
VMA-2	Missing Events For Important Parameter Updates	Minor	Fixed

## 3 Participant Process

Here are the relevant actors with their respective abilities within the **RentFun** Smart Contract:

### Admin

- The admin can set the max vault of user can create by calling the `setMaxVaultNum()` function.
- The admin can set the `Rentfun` address `setRentfun()` function.
- The admin has the authority to call the `setCommission` and `setVipCommission` functions to set `commission_` and `vipCommission_`.
- The admin has the ability to invoke the `setPartner` function to set `Partner`.
- The admin has the capability to invoke the `setTreasure` function to change `setTreasure` addresses.
- The admin has the capability to invoke the `setVaultManager` function to change `vaultManager` addresses.
- The admin has the capability to invoke the `setWonderBird` function to change `wonderBird` addresses.

### User

- Users can invoke the `create` function to create a new vault.
- Users can call `removeVault` function to remove the vault of user.
- Users have the option to call the `transferERC721` function to transfer NFT to vault.
- Users can use the `transferERC20` function to transfer ERC20 token to vault.
- Users can invoke the `transferETH` function to transfer ETH to vault.
- Users can use the `lend` function to lend a token.
- Users can use the `rent` function to rent a token.
- Lender can call `claimRentFee` to get the fee of `lend bid`.



## 4 Findings

### RFH-1 Use `abi.encode` instead of `abi.encodePacked`

**Severity:** Minor

**Status:** Fixed

**Code Location:**

contracts/RentFun/RentFunHelper.sol#133-144

**Descriptions:**

Use `abi.encode()` instead which will pad items to 32 bytes, which will prevent hash collisions (e.g. `abi.encodePacked(0x123,0x456) => 0x123456 => abi.encodePacked(0x1,0x23456)` , but `abi.encode(0x123,0x456) => 0x0...1230...456` ). Unless there is a compelling reason, `abi.encode` should be preferred.

**Suggestion:**

It is recommended to use `abi.encode` as preferred.

**Resolution:**

The client followed the suggestion and fixed this issue.

## RFU-1 `rent` Function Can Be Front-run

**Severity:** Major

**Status:** Fixed

**Code Location:**

contracts/RentFun/RentFun.sol#71

**Descriptions:**

The `rent()` function has a known race condition that can lead to token theft. If a renter calls the `rent` function a second time, the lender can front-run the transaction and call `lend()` again to modify the lend bid and raise the bid fee, while the transaction of receive will still be packed, so this will result in the loss of the renter's token.

**Suggestion:**

It is recommended to add a parameter to limit the maximum number of tokens a renter can pay. This will help prevent users from losing funds from front-running attacks.

**Resolution:**

The client followed the suggestion and fixed this issue.

## RFU-2 `lend` Function Design Issues

**Severity:** Major

**Status:** Acknowledged

**Code Location:**

contracts/RentFun/RentFun.sol#70

**Descriptions:**

The `lend` function allows the owner of the `tokenId` to rent out his nft and set the rental fee, then the bid information and in basic information of the token will be recorded in the `lendTokens` and `lendBids`. However, `lend` does not judge whether the token is already in the state of renting when lender call `lend`, which means that the owner can call the `lend` function again to modify the information of `lend`, such as modifying the `maxEndTime` of the token (in addition to the `tokenHash`).

**Suggestion:**

It is recommended to determine the state of the corresponding `tokenHash` before `lend`.

**Resolution:**

According to the protocol design `lend` can be called multiple times by the owner of the token to modify the relevant bid information.

# RFU-3 Token Can Be Rented Multiple Times

**Severity:** Major

**Status:** Fixed

**Code Location:**

contracts/RentFun/RentFun.sol#99

**Descriptions:**

After the lender calls the `lend` function, other users can call the `rent` and pay the corresponding fee to rent the token, but the `rent` function does not check the state of the token corresponding to the current `tokenHash` and whether it has been rented out or not, so it will result that a token can be rented out more than once.

**Suggestion:**

It is recommended to add a state check of the `tokenHash` corresponding to the token in the rent function.

**Resolution:**

The client followed the suggestion and fixed this issue.

## RFU-4 Missing Check On collection address

**Severity:** Medium

**Status:** Acknowledged

**Code Location:**

contracts/RentFun/RentFun.sol#71

**Descriptions:**

Due to the lack of checking of the collection address, the lender can pass in any collection address when calling the lend function, and it is possible that the collection is an invalid address or a dangerous contract address, which may result in an unknown risk.

**Suggestion:**

It is recommended to take relevant methods to limit the collection address to fix the issue.

**Resolution:**

The client replied that there are no restrictions on collections at this time, and restrictions on collection addresses may be added in the future.



## RFU-5 RentFun Contract May Have ptnFee Remaining

**Severity:** Medium

**Status:** Acknowledged

**Code Location:**

contracts/RentFun/RentFun.sol#158

**Descriptions:**

When the `claimRentFee` function is called by the lender, it will distribute part of the rental fee to the protocol, and part of this fee will be given to the partners. But if the receiver `address` of the partners is 0, and the `share` is not set to 0, the `claimRentFee` function will not do anything with this part of this fee, and the token will be locked in the `RentFun` contract.

**Suggestion:**

It is recommended to make sure that when adding a partner address, when `receiver` is set to 0, `share` is 0 as well.

**Resolution:**

Setting `initialized` to true prevents the user from invoking the `rentfun` contract directly, but instead interacts with it through a proxy contract.

## RFU-6 Initialize Could Be Front-Run

**Severity:** Medium

**Status:** Acknowledged

**Code Location:**

contracts/RentFun/RentFun.sol#64;  
contracts/RentFun/VaultManager.sol#28

**Descriptions:**

In the contract, by calling the `initialize` function to initialize the contracts, there is a potential issue that malicious attackers preemptively call the `initialize` function to initialize and there is no access control verification for the `initialize` functions.

**Suggestion:**

It is suggested that the `initialize` function can be called in the same transaction immediately after the contract is created to avoid being maliciously called by the attacker.

**Resolution:**

The client replied that the deployed contract and `initialize` will be called in one transaction.

# VMA-1 Initialize Issue

**Severity:** Medium

**Status:** Acknowledged

**Code Location:**

contracts/RentFun/VaultManager.sol#25;  
contracts/RentFun/RentFunHelper.sol#40;  
contracts/RentFun/RentFun.sol#61

**Descriptions:**

`VaultManager` , `RentFunHelper` and `RentFun` contracts are deployed with the constructor setting the value of `initialized` to true, which can cause the admin to fail when initializing the contract to set global variables.

**Suggestion:**

It is recommended to modify the constructor so that the contract can be initialized correctly.

# VMA-2 Missing Events For Important Parameter Updates

**Severity:** Minor

**Status:** Fixed

**Code Location:**

contracts/RentFun/VaultManager.sol#56-60

**Descriptions:**

We found that when important parameters are updated in the project, the function doesn't emit the update event, so we suggest emitting the event in time, so to notify the user or chain off programs.

**Suggestion:**

It is recommended to emit the corresponding event in time when updating the important parameter.

**Resolution:**

The client followed the suggestion and fixed this issue.

# Appendix 1

## Issue Level

- **Informational** issues are often recommendations to improve the style of the code or to optimize code that does not affect the overall functionality.
- **Minor** issues are general suggestions relevant to best practices and readability. They don't post any direct risk. Developers are encouraged to fix them.
- **Medium** issues are non-exploitable problems and not security vulnerabilities. They should be fixed unless there is a specific reason not to.
- **Major** issues are security vulnerabilities. They put a portion of users' sensitive information at risk, and often are not directly exploitable. All major issues should be fixed.
- **Critical** issues are directly exploitable security vulnerabilities. They put users' sensitive information at risk. All critical issues should be fixed.

## Issue Status

- **Fixed:** The issue has been resolved.
- **Partially Fixed:** The issue has been partially resolved.
- **Acknowledged:** The issue has been acknowledged by the code owner, and the code owner confirms it's as designed, and decides to keep it.



## Appendix 2

### Disclaimer

This report is based on the scope of materials and documents provided, with a limited review at the time provided. Results may not be complete and do not include all vulnerabilities. The review and this report are provided on an as-is, where-is, and as-available basis. You agree that your access and/or use, including but not limited to any associated services, products, protocols, platforms, content, and materials, will be at your own risk. A report does not imply an endorsement of any particular project or team, nor does it guarantee its security. These reports should not be relied upon in any way by any third party, including for the purpose of making any decision to buy or sell products, services, or any other assets. TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, WE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT, ITS CONTENT, RELATED SERVICES AND PRODUCTS, AND YOUR USE, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, NOT INFRINGEMENT.

